| !                       |   |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN, SBN 310719 LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C. 729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000 Boston, MA 02116 Telephone: 617.994.5800 Fax No.: 617.994.5801  Attorneys for Plaintiffs Robina Contreras and Gabriel Ets-Hokin  FILED Superior Court of Calic County of Los Ange 12/15/2021 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer By: J. Clavero | 2049 Century Park East, Suite 500                          |
|                         | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |  |
| 12                      |   |  |
| 13                      | FOR THE COUN'   | ΓY OF LOS ANGELES  |
| 14                      | ROBINA CONTRERAS, GABRIEL ETS-  |  |
| 15                      | HOKIN   | Case No. 19STCV43062                                       |
| 16                      | Plaintiffs,   | IBBODOCEDI ODDED CD ANTINO                                 |
| 17                      | V.  | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING<br>PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS |
| 18                      | ZŪM SERVICES, INC.,   | ACTION SETTLEMENT  |
| 19                      | Defendant.  |  |
| 20                      |   |  |
| 21                      |   |  |
| 22                      |   |  |
| 23                      |   |  |
| 24                      |   |  |
| 25                      |   |  |
| 26                      |   |  |
| 27                      |   |  |
|                         |   |  |
| 28                      |   |  |

Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of a PAGA and class action settlement reached with Defendant Zūm Services, Inc. The Court has carefully considered the Settlement Agreement together with all exhibits thereto, all the filings related to the Settlement, the arguments of counsel, and the record in this case. The Court hereby gives its preliminary approval of the Settlement; finds that the Settlement and Settlement Agreement are sufficiently fair, reasonable and adequate to allow dissemination of Notice of Class Action Settlement to the Settlement Class and to hold a Fairness Hearing; orders the Class Notice be sent to the Settlement Class in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and this Order; and schedules a Fairness Hearing to determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. As used in this Order, the term "Settlement Agreement" refers to the PAGA and Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release filed with the Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval on November 18, 2021. The Settlement Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference in this Order, and all terms or phrases used in this Order shall have the same meaning as in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement preliminarily appear to be fair, reasonable, and adequate, and within the range of possible approval and sufficient to warrant providing notice to the Settlement Class, when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation, given the risks relating to liability and damages. It further appears that investigation and research has been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action, and that it will provide substantial benefits to Class Members going forward. It appears that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, arm's-length negotiations utilizing an experienced third-party neutral mediator.
- 3. The Court certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class: all drivers who applied to Zūm and were paid by Zūm for completing one or more rides booked directly

through Zūm's online platform or mobile application, within the State of California at any time during the period November 27, 2015 to the date on which the Court enters this Order of Preliminary Approval or December 31, 2021, whichever occurs first.

- 4. The Court appoints as class representatives, for settlement purposes only, Robina Contreras and Gabriel Ets-Hokin. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that these Named Plaintiffs will adequately represent the Settlement Class.
- 5. For settlement purposes only, the Court designates as Class Counsel the law firm of Lichten & Liss-Riordan, P.C. The Court preliminarily finds that, based on the work Class Counsel have done identifying, investigating, and prosecuting the claims in this action; Class Counsel's experience in handling class actions and claims of this type asserted in this Action; Class Counsel's knowledge of the applicable law; and the resources Class Counsel have and will commit to representing the Settlement Class, that Class Counsel have represented and will represent the interests of the Settlement Class fairly and adequately.
- The Court appoints Simpluris as Settlement Administrator. Simpluris shall administer the Settlement Fund in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Order and the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the proposed Settlement Class and conditionally finds that, solely for the purposes of approving this Settlement Agreement and for no other purpose and with no other effect on this litigation, the proposed Settlement Class meets the requirement for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure including that: (a) the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are predominant questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the Settlement Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the members of the Settlement Class; (d) the Named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Settlement Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient method of adjudication of this controversy through settlement; and (f)

Class Counsel is qualified to act as counsel for the Named Plaintiffs in their individual and representative capacities.

- 8. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the distribution of the Notice of Class Action Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement meets the requirements of due process and are the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 9. The Court approves the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Notice of Settlement of Class Action for exclusions from and objections to the Settlement.
- 10. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to opt out from the Settlement Agreement must do so within 60 days of the Notice Date and in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.
- 11. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to object to the Settlement Agreement may submit a written objection to the Settlement Administrator within 60 days of the Notice Date and in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to receive payment from the Settlement must submit a Claim Form to the Settlement Administrator within 60 days of the Notice Date and in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Reasonable attempts will be made to update the address of any Class Member whose notice is returned as undeliverable as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Plaintiffs shall file a Motion for Attorney's Fees, Costs, & Service Awards no later than 30 days before the expiration of the time to opt out, object or submit a claim in the Settlement and a copy of said Motion shall be posted on the settlement website.
- 15. The Court directs that a hearing be scheduled for March \_\_\_\_\_, 2022, at 9:30 am (the "Fairness Hearing") to assist the Court in determining whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate; whether Final Judgment should be entered in this Action; whether Class Counsel's application for fees and expenses should be approved; and whether Class Counsel's request for

enhancement payments to the Named Plaintiffs should be approved. Plaintiffs shall file a Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement no later than 10 court days before the hearing.

- 16. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the plan of allocation of the Settlement Fund as described in the Settlement.
- 17. Neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document or instrument delivered thereunder shall be construed as or deemed to be evidence of an admission or concession by Zūm of an interpretation of, any liability or wrongdoing by Zūm, or of the truth of any allegations asserted by Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Members or any other person.
- 18. If the Settlement is not finally approved, or the Effective Date (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) does not occur, or the Settlement Agreement is terminated under its terms, or the Settlement Agreement is overturned or modified by the Court or on appeal, then: (a) the Settlement Agreement shall be without force and effect upon the rights of the Parties hereto, and none of its terms shall be effective or enforceable; (b) the Parties shall be deemed to have reverted nunc pro tunc to their respective status as of the day immediately before the Parties entered into the Settlement Agreement, with the Parties to meet and confer regarding any discovery or case management deadlines that were pending at the time the Parties stayed litigation, arbitration, or other proceedings; (c) Zūm shall be refunded any amounts paid pursuant to this Settlement Agreement but not yet spent or disbursed; (d) all Orders entered in connection with the Settlement Agreement, including the certification of the Settlement Class, shall be vacated without prejudice to any Party's position on the issue of class certification, or any other issue, in this Action or any other action, and the Parties shall be restored to their litigation positions existing on the date of execution of Settlement Agreement; and (e) the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if the Settlement Agreement and related documentation and orders had not been executed, and without prejudice in any way from the negotiation or fact of the Settlement or the terms of the Settlement Agreement. In such an event, this Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary Approval Order, shall not be used or referred to in litigation, arbitration, or other proceedings for any purpose. Nothing in the foregoing paragraph is intended to alter the terms of